Case 2:17-cv-01146-DWA Document 12-3 Filed 06/01/18 Page 1 of 12

Payment Documents and Decisions

Civil Action Number: 2:17-01146

Claimant: Trish Ann Fontana Account Number: 197-56-3849

Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description	Page No.	No. of Pages
1A	Disability Determination Explanation - Title II - Physical RFC Paul Fox, MD, dated 07/09/2013	61-70	10
2A	Disability Determination Transmittal - Title II - PTTYPE - 7160/7240, dated 07/09/2013	71	1

DATE: April 18, 2018

The documents and exhibits contained in this administrative record are the best copies obtainable.

Case 2Disability Determination Explanation 2 of 12 exhibit no. 1A

PAGE: 1 OF 10

CLAIMANT INFORMATION

This Disability Determination Explanation is for the DIB claim at the Initial level.

CLAIMANT INFORMATION

Name: Trish Ann Fontana

SSN: 197-56-3849

Phone Number: 412-882-0719

Secondary Phone Number: 412-770-4440

Address:

Mailing	Residence	
3130 GLENDALE AVE	3130 GLENDALE AVE	
PITTSBURGH, PA 15227	PITTSBURGH, PA 15227	

Claimant Gender: F

Self Reported Height: 63 inches **Self Reported Weight**: 154.0 lbs

Special Indications: None.

RELEVANT DATES

Below table represents the Relevant Dates

Date of Birth	Current Age	Age at DFI AOD	DLI	Age at DLI
06/02/1967	46 years 1 month 06/06/2011 (Younger person)	44 years (Younger 04/01/2006 person)	03/31/2015	

Does the individual have an attorney/appointed representative? No

ALLEGATIONS OF IMPAIRMENTS

The individual filed for Initial claim for disability on 03/20/2013 due to the following illnesses, injuries, or conditions:

Ruptured disc,herniated disc lower back Herniated cervical disc

Sciatica

Numbness in legs

The individual alleges inability to function and/or work as of

06/06/2011

Prior Electronic Filings

There are no prior electronic filings.

Alleged Onset Date:

06/06/2011

Has the individual performed work after the Alleged Onset Date(AOD)?

Νc

Has any period(s) of work been determined to be an Unsuccessful Work Attempt, Subsidized/Sheltered Work or involved Impairment–Related Work Expenses, or other technical issue?

No

EVIDENCE OF RECORD

The following initial evidence has been received

Source of Evidence	AMCE PHYSICIANS GROUP
EF Received	06/19/2013
Opinion	Yes
Evidence Type	CE Rprt
Level	IN
Opinion	1 of 1
Opinion Source Name	amce physicians group
Opinion Date	06/11/2013
Is the Opinion from an Acceptable Medical Source	Yes
Type of Source Relationship	Non-Treating Source
Type of Opinion	Other Opinion

Record Source Statement

168 completed as limited light

Source of Evidence	amce physicians group
EF Received	06/12/2013
Opinion	No
Evidence Type	CE Rprt
Level	IN

Source of Evidence	Claimant	62
EF Received	06/03/2013	
Oninion	No.	

Case 2:17-cv-01146-DWA Document 12-3 Filed 06/01/18 Page 4 of 12 EXHIBIT NO. 1A PAGE: 3.0F 10 Evidence Type 3373-Funct Rprt-Adult Level IN Source of JRMC DIAGNOSTICS Evidence EF Received 06/03/2013 Opinion No Evidence Type MER Level ΙN Source of RICHMAN, JORY Evidence EF Received 05/29/2013 Opinion No Evidence Type MER Level ΙN Source of WEIDNER, GREGG G Evidence EF Received 05/27/2013 Opinion No Evidence Type MER Level ΙN Source of MANCE, DAVID J Evidence EF Received 05/21/2013 Opinion No Evidence Type MER Level ΙN Source of UPMC MERCY Evidence EF Received 05/18/2013 Opinion No Evidence Type MER Level IN Source of upmc south side hospital Evidence EF Received 05/18/2013 Opinion No Evidence Type MER Level IN

Source of	WEIDNER, GREGG				
Evidence Ca	e 2:17-cv-01146-DWA	Document 12-3	Filed 06/01/18	Page 5 of 12 EXHIBIT N	Ο 1Δ
EF Received	05/13/2013			PAGE: 4 O	
Opinion	No				
Evidence Type	MER				
Level	IN				

Source of Evidence	JRMC DIAGNOSTICS
EF Received	05/13/2013
Opinion	No
Evidence Type	MER
Level	IN

Source of Evidence	Jefferson Regional Med Ctr
EF Received	05/08/2013
Opinion	No
Evidence Type	MER
Level	IN

Source of Evidence	Claimant
EF Received	05/03/2013
Opinion	No
Evidence Type	3369-Work Hx
Level	IN

Source of Evidence	Unknown Name
EF Received	04/18/2013
Opinion	No
Evidence Type	3369-Work Hx
Level	IN

CLAIM COMMUNICATIONS

No Claim Communications have been created.

CONSULTATIVE EXAMINATION(S) (CE)

Is a CE(s) required?

Yes

Select the reason(s) for which a CE(s) is required:

The evidence as a whole, both medical and non-medical, is not sufficient to support a decision on the claim.

Was the treating source(s) contacted to perform the CE(s)?

No

PAGE: 5 OF 10

The treating source prefers not to perform/does not have the equipment

Were all of the CE(s) kept?

Yes

FINDINGS OF FACT AND ANALYSIS OF EVIDENCE

Analysis

The claimant injured her left achilles tendion in 2010 and had surgery but with no improvement. She had an acute onset of sciatica in 2011 and it was found she had a ruptured lumbar disc and had surgery in 2011. She also has two herniated disc in her cervical spine. She is able to drive. She bathes and dresses herself, does food preparation, and light housework. She also takes care of her dog. Int CE 6/2013 shows she can arise from a chair and get on an off table. She could only do heel toe walk for a few steps and was quite unsteady while doing this. She could not bend or sqat. Balance was intact and so was gait. She has good strength in all extremities with the exception of her left ankle, which was 3/5. She had diminished ROM in cervical and lumbar spine. Left ankle ROM was decreased in all directions and she wore a brace on it. ROM was otherwise unremarkable.

416 - CASE ANALYSES

No 416-Case Analyses have been created.

MEDICALLY DETERMINABLE IMPAIRMENTS AND SEVERITY (MDI)

ADULT MEDICALLY DETERMINABLE IMPAIRMENTS (MDI)

Does the individual have one or more medically determinable impairments? Yes

IMPAIRMENT DIAGNOSIS	<u>PRIORITY</u>	<u>SEVERITY</u>
7160 - Dysfunction - Major Joints	Primary	Severe
7240 – Spine Disorders	Secondary	Severe

ADULT LISTINGS CONSIDERED

<u>Listing</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Subsection</u>
1.02	Dysfunction – Major Joints	
1.04	Spine Disorders	

ADULT MEDICAL DISPOSITION

RFC Assessment Necessary (Physical and/or Mental)

ASSESSMENT OF POLICY ISSUES

SYMPTOMS AND CREDIBILITY

Can one or more of the individual's medically determinable impairment(s) (MDI(s)) reasonably be expected to produce the individual's pain or other symptoms?

Yes

Are the individual's statements about the intensity, persistence, and functionally limiting effects of the symptoms substantiated by the objective medical evidence alone?

ÑΟ

PAGE: 6 OF 10

When considering the following factors, which were the most informative in assessing the credibility of the individual's statements?

ADLS Medication Treatment Treatment other than medication

What is your assessment of the credibility of the individual's statements regarding symptoms considering the total medical and non-medical evidence in file?

Partially Credible

Credibility assessment:

see assessment

WEIGHING OF OPINION EVIDENCE

The following displays medical opinions from non-treating or non-examining sources; it also contains 'other' opinions from treating, non-treating, non-examining or other sources:

Source of Evidence	Opinion Source Name	Level	Opinion Date	Weight
amce physicians group	amce physicians group	Initial	06/11/2013	Other

Explain how you weighed the opinion(s) above:

see assessment

RESIDUAL FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY

PHYSICAL RESIDUAL FUNCTIONAL CAPACITY ASSESSMENT

RFC1

Indicate whether this Physical Residual Functional Capacity (RFC) assessment is for:

Current Evaluation

Does the individual have exertional limitations?

Yes

Rate the individual's exertional limitations:

Occasionally (occasionally is cumulatively 1/3 or less of an 8 hour day) lift and/or carry (including upward pulling):

20 pounds

Frequently (frequently is cumulatively more than 1/3 up to 2/3 of an 8 hour day) lift and/or carry (including upward pulling):

10 pounds

Stand and/or walk (with normal breaks) for a total of:

3 hours

Sit (with normal breaks) for a total of:

About 6 hours in an 8-hour workday

Push and/or pull (including operation of hand and/or foot controls):

Unlimited, other than shown, for lift and/or carry

Does the individual have postural limitations?

Yes

Rate the individual's postural limitations:

Climbing Ramps/stairs: Occasionally

Climbing Ladders/ropes/scaffolds: Occasionally

Balancing: Occasionally

Stooping (i.e., bending at the waist): Occasionally

Kneeling: Occasionally

Crouching (i.e., bending at the knees): Occasionally

Crawling: Occasionally

Does the individual have manipulative limitations?

Nο

Does the individual have visual limitations?

No

Does the individual have communicative limitations?

Νc

Does the individual have environmental limitations?

Yes

Rate the individual's environmental limitations:

Extreme cold: Avoid concentrated exposure

Extreme heat: Unlimited

Wetness: Unlimited

Humidity: Avoid concentrated exposure

Noise: Unlimited

Vibration: Avoid concentrated exposure

Fumes, odors, dusts, gases, poor ventilation, etc.: Unlimited

Hazards (machinery, heights, etc.): Avoid concentrated exposure

Case 2:17-cv-01146-DWA Document 12-3 Filed 06/01/18 Page 9 of 12 **EXHIBIT NO. 1A**

PAGE: 8 OF 10

The claimant has described daily activities that are significantly limited; however, she participates in daily activities such as caring for personal needs and performing routine household activities, describes the ability to care for a minor child in the home, and is able to drive a car. There is evidence that the claimant stopped working for reasons unrelated to the alleged impairments. She has been treated by specialists for her left ankle injury and degenerative disc disease, which has resulted in at least partial symptomatic improvement. Treatment of the claimant's other impairments has been routine and conservative, and generally successful.

Based on the evidence of record, the claimant's statements are found to be partially credible.

The opinions stated within the report dated 6/11/13 authored by Robert Hoffman, M.D., a non-treating source, have been considered. The source states that the claimant is limited in lifting, carrying, standing, walking, and in postural activities. These observations are fairly consistent with the other evidence in file. Accordingly, the above–referenced opinions are given appropriate weight. This RFC assessment partially reflects the source's assessment.

These findings complete the medical portion of the disability determination.

MC/PC or SDM Signature

Paul Fox, M.D. 12 07/05/2013

ASSESSMENT OF POLICY ISSUES – CONTINUED

RECONCILING OF SOURCE OPINION

Are there medical source and/or other source opinions about the individual's limitations or restrictions which are more restrictive than your findings?

No

ASSESSMENT OF VOCATIONAL FACTORS

ASSESSMENT OF THE INDIVIDUAL'S ABILITY TO PERFORM PAST RELEVANT WORK

A finding about the capacity for PRW has not been made. However, this information is not material because all potentially applicable Medical-Vocational Guidelines would direct a finding of "not disabled" given the individual's age, education, and RFC. Therefore, the individual can adjust to other work.

Past Relevant Work:

Past Relevant Work is expedited.

Additional Past Work Titles:

Job Title: loader-on call

 Start Date:
 09/07

 End Date:
 01/2010

Job Title: nurse's aid

 Start Date:
 2001

 End Date:
 2003

Job Title case 2:17-cv-01146 DWA Document 12-3 Filed 06/01/18 Page 10 of 12EXHIBIT NO. 1A Start Date: PAGE: 9 OF 10

End Date: 2006

Job Title: self employment

 Start Date:
 2001

 End Date:
 2003

APPLICATION OF MEDICAL - VOCATIONAL RULES: Other Work

Past Relevant Work is expedited.

Is the individual limited to unskilled work because of the impairments?

No

Based on the seven strength factors of the physical RFC (lifting/carrying, standing, walking, sitting, pushing, and pulling), the individual demonstrates the maximum sustained work capability for the following:

SEDĒNTARY

Indicate the rule used to direct a determination or as a framework (20 CFR Pt. 404, Subpt. P, App. 2).

201.18 - Young 45-49 Lim Ed Literate Eng Unskilled-None

Select one of the following:

Rule Used as a Framework

Cite three occupations in which there are a significant number of jobs that exist in the national economy OR Select the appropriate Social Security Ruling (SSR):

83-12: Exertional limitations within/between ranges of work

DETERMINATION

Based on the documented findings, select the determination:

Not Disabled

Is there medical evidence of DAA?

There is no evidence of any substance abuse disorder/DAA issue

DIB Claim/221500856

Indicate which of the following Acquiescence Rulings are applicable

None of the ARs considered apply to this claim

REGULATION BASIS CODE

Regulation Basis Code:

J1-20CFR404.1520(g)-DIB CLAIM

SIGNATURES

ADULT MC/PC or SDM Signature

Paul Fox, M.D. 12 07/05/2013

Disability Adjudicator/Examiner Signature:

Christine Garbowsky 07/09/2013

eCAT version: 7.4.55

Case 2:17-cv-01146-DWA Document 12-3 Filed 06/01/18 Page 12 of 12EXHIBIT NO. 2A

BBZZC1 **PAGE: 1 OF 1** CXQ SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

DISABILITY DETERMINATION AND TRANSMITTAL											
1. DESTINATION		2. DDS CODE	3. FILING	G DATE	4. SSN			BIC (if	BIC (if CDB or DWB claim)		
DDS ODO DRS DQE	B INTPSC	S67	03/2	0/13	197-56-3849						
5. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CLAIMANT (include ZIP code) TRISH ANN FONTANA 3130 GLENDALE AVENUE			6. WE'S NAME (if CDB or DWB claim)								
PITTSBURGH PA	VERCE				7. TYPE CL						
15227 (412) 882-0719					DIB FZ	DWB	CDB-R CDB-	D RD-R RD-D	RD P-R	P-D MQFE	
9 DATE OF BIRTH	L 40 DD	IOR ACTION									
06/02/67		PD	PT		8. TYPE CLAIM (Title XVI) DI DS DC BI BS BC						
12. DISTRICT-BRANCH OFFICE			DO-BO		11. REMARKS		4 /10 /1				
650 Washington I Pittsburgh PA 1		e 120	A34		RECEIPTED 04/19/13 AOD 06/06/11						
(888	B) 717-1	L525									
			11 5 75	_							
13. DO-BO REPRESENTATIVE			14. DATE			SUMPTIVE BILIT Y		11B. 🔲 I	MPAIRMENT		
	DETE	RMINATION PUR			SOCIAL SE	CURITY					
15. CLAIMANT DISABLED A. Disability		16A. PRIMARY DIA	AGNOSIS	BODY SYS	l l	.60	16B. SECO	NDARY DIAGNOSI	S	CODE NO 7240	
B. Disability		Arthropat		- Othe	Disorders of Back (Discogenic and Degenerative)				rs of Back		
Ceased 17. DIARY TYPE MO./	YR. TREASON	Unspecifi	.ed					d			
							Dogon	0140100			
18. CASE OF BLINDNESS AS DE	FINED IN SEC.	1614(a) (2)/(216)(I)	19. C	LAIMANT N	IOT DISABLED						
A. Not Disab, for Cash Bene, Purp.	_	isab. for Cash Benefit Purp. Beg.	A. X	Through Current De	Date of etermination	3. 🔲 Thi	rough			fore Age 22 (CDB only)	
20. VOCATIONAL BACKGROUND OCC. YRS. ED. YRS. 21. VR SC IN SC OUT ACTION A. B.				Prev F	_						
22. REG-BASIS CODE 23.	MED LIST NO.	24. MOB COD	E 25. RI	EVISED	^{25A} . Initial	Recon	Recon DH			U.S. District Court	
J1- 26. LIST , A.		 I в.	I C.		A. X	В. 🔲	C.	D	E	F. 🗌	
NO P						363					
27. RATIONALE See Attached Check if Vocational											
SSA-4268-U4/		Check if Vocation Rule Met. Cite i									
28.	, B 🗆	Dicability Poriod	· □	Estab Boo	7		n	Continuos	F		
A. Period of Disability B. Disability Period C. Estab. Beg. D. Continues E. Term											
29. LTR\PAR NO.		TY EXAMINER-DDS		1	DATE			EDICAL SPEC. SI		33. DATE	
DDS/DL PN		ine Garbow			7/09/13	SEE	DDE DA	ATED 7/9/:	r3	32B. SPEC. CODE	
		IAN OR MEDICAL SPE Fox MD	C. NAME (S	ыатр, Рппі	or type)					12	
34. REMARKS DMA CLAIM Disability Redesign Prototype Case					MULTIPLE IMPAIRMENTS CONSIDERED						
							34A. COMBINED MULTIPLE NONSEVERE-SEVERE				
									OMBINED MULTIPLE EVERE-NONSEVERE		
35. BASIS CODE 3	6. REV. DET. CODES	37. REPRES	ENTATIVE					SSA CODES	38	. DATE	
Form SSA-831-U3	(8/94)				Electro	nic Input:		DECISION		CASE CONTRO	